



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,499	04/12/2004	Christopher C. McConnell	MSFT-3513/302456.01	3038
23377 7590 08/16/2007 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			EXAMINER KHOSHNOODI, FARIBORZ	
			ART UNIT 2168	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/16/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/822,499	MCCONNELL, CHRISTOPHER C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fariborz Khoshnoodi	2168	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-26.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____
13. ☐ Other: _____.


TIM VO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that McConnell fail to teach generating "a collection of ranked interpretations comprising a set of fragments of data types structurally compatible to data types in the received inputs ". Examiner respectfully disagrees for the reasons stated in the Final Office Action. Additionally, McConnell teaches a method/system generating "a collection of ranked interpretations comprising a set of fragments of data types structurally compatible to data types in the received inputs " (i.e., "As shown in step 1225, for each word or phrase of the parsed string, a clique (or set) of pattern objects (or a group of rank ordered fragments) is generated. Details of an exemplary matching (and fragment ranking) facility are described in .sctn. 4.4.2.2 below with reference to FIG. 15. In step 1230, the attrens of the cliques (or fragments from the groups of rank ordered fragments) are "combined" (or "chained") together, based on the database design schema 420, to generate a formal command query." (Col. 19 lines 66-67 and Col. 20 lines 1-7)... "As shown in step 1230, patterns (or fragments) of the cliques (or groups) 1612 are "combined" so that a formal command query may be generated for interpretation by the database management process 470."(Col. 32 lines 3-6)). McConnell in step 1230 clearly specified that based on database design 420 fragments from the groups of rank are combined and for reaching to this result it generate a formal command query.

Applicant arguers that McConnell does not teach "generating a plurality of ranked interpretations comprising a set of fragments of data types structurally compatible to data types in the request ... [and] performing an action in response to at least one of the plurality of ranked interpretations." Examiner respectfully disagrees for the reason stated in the Final Office Action. Additionally, McConnell teaches a method/system wherein "generating a plurality of ranked interpretations comprising a set of fragments of data types structurally compatible to data types in the request ... [and] performing an action in response to at least one of the plurality of ranked interpretations." (i.e., "FIG. 15, which includes FIGS. 15A and 15B, is a flow diagram of an exemplary process 1220/1225' for generating groups of rank ordered fragments 464 (or simply sets or cliques of pattern objects) associated with the database design schema 420 based on "matches" between phrases in the parsed string and the indexed annotations 460."(Col. 21 lines 14-19)). McConnell in FIG 15 shows the process for generating groups of rank ordered fragments.

Applicant arguers that McConnell does not teach at least "assigning a rank to the at least one interpretation." Examiner respectfully disagrees for the reason stated in the Final Office Action. Additionally, McConnell teaches a method/system wherein at least "assigning a rank to the at least one interpretation."(i.e., "As shown in step 1230, the groups of rank ordered fragments are "chained" so that a formal command query may be generated for interpretation by the database management process 470. Basically, the chaining process combines the groups of rank ordered fragments in a way consistent with the annotated database design schema 420 (e.g., the annotated ERD). Once the groups of rank ordered fragments are combined, the objects of the resulting "fragment" can be easily converted to a formal command query. The order in which groups of rank ordered fragments are combined can affect both (i) the interpretation of the query, and (ii) the time for performing the chaining process. Basically, the groups of rank ordered fragments are arranged based on word order in the initial query." (Col. 42 lines 42-55)). McConnell clearly indicated that when the order of groups of rank ordered fragments are combined it would effect the interpretation of the query and the groups of rank ordered fragment are arranged based on word order and it is clear there should be an assigned rank to the at least one interpretation since the combining the groups of rank ordered fragments effect the interpretation..